# Garland Independent School District Weaver Elementary School 2021-2022 Campus Improvement Plan

# **Mission Statement**

Every student - every day.

# Vision

Weaver Elementary staff members will create life-long learners by creating a safe and engaging school environment where all students feel supported by the teachers, administrators, parents, and community.

# **Table of Contents**

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Learning	4
School Processes & Programs	6
Perceptions	8
Priority Problem Statements	10
Goals	12
Goal 1: Garland ISD will ensure ALL students graduate prepared for college, careers, and life by increasing student performance measures, postsecondary readiness, and	
graduation rates and decreasing student management incidences.	13
Goal 2: Magnet Funding Justification	31
Title I Personnel	33

# **Comprehensive Needs Assessment**

# **Demographics**

#### **Demographics Summary**

Weaver is a safe, welcoming, diverse, and small neighborhood PK-5th elementary school. Teachers are well versed with a lot of experience. Student and staff needs are met in all areas, particularly in Special Education as well as Gifted/Talented. Gifted/Talented trainings are being provided. Bilingual and ESL teachers are very good, behavior and test scores score well compared to others in similar schools. The programs provided are perceived to definitely align with the philosophy and befiefs of teachers and administration.

#### **Demographics Strengths**

Teachers are highly experienced and the experience comes from previous schools that have a similar demographic. Students are able to feel safe on our campus and feel welcome to communicate with staff members. Leadership has a created a more positive environment to align with our campus needs and demographics to improve morale. Staff approaches discipline as a united front and is consistent throughout the campus, leading to lower incident rates than previous years.

#### **Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs**

**Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized):** Student involvement in enrichment clubs and after school activities is limited. **Root Cause:** There are not a lot of volunteers for clubs and after school activities.

**Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized):** The campus does not highly incentivize behavior through a structured PBIS system. **Root Cause:** Students engagement is not as high as it could be. Classroom management strategies are not implemented consistently across the campus.

**Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized):** Males are more likely to be written up for classroom incidents than females. **Root Cause:** Opportunities for males to have mentors and see male role models on campus are not often. Students lack availability to resources and conflict resolution.

# **Student Learning**

#### **Student Learning Summary**

50% of 3rd grade students were approaches in math, 20% meets, and 9% masters. 64% of 5th grade students were approaches in math, 28% meets, and 9% masters. 45% approaches for 4th grade math, 21% meets, 10% masters. 38% appraches in 3rd grade reading, 9% meets, and 3% masters. 62% approaches in 4th grade reading, 23% meets, and 3% masters. 60% approaches in 5th grade reading, 35% meets, and 20% masters. 50% of 3rd grade math students were approaches in math, but 0% were African-American. In 3rd grade reading, only 12% of African-American students were approaches. 45% of 4th grade math students were approaches, and 70% of those students were (Limited English Proficient) LEP. In 4th grade math, 100% of Asian students were approaches, but 50% of these students met approaches in reading. In 5th grade math, LEP students scored the highest percentage of masters. 0% meets and masters for Caucasian students in 5th grade math. On 4th grade writing STAAR, Asian and Special Education (SPED) students scored the lowest by 15-20%. Local benchmark assessments were optional - 5th and 3rd grade students did not take most of the local benchmark assessments. MAP had a better indicator of student success. Interest approaches, weaver students are about 10% lower than the district to student. 4th grade Weaver data is between 1-4% of the district data for math, reading, and writing. In 5th grade, Weaver students are about 10% lower than the district scores in approaches, meets, and masters. 5th grade math is approximately 2% higher than the district in the approaches category. The district is about 5% higher for meets and masters for 5th grade math. Caucasian students in 5th grade math is 70% approaches for the district, and there is 17% for Caucasian students at Weaver. 3rd grade math is 4-7% lower than the district in approaches, meets, and masters. 3rd grade reading approaches in nearly 30% below the district average. Small group instruction for absent students. Difficult to address students who are asynchronous. Teacher-by-teacher

#### **Student Learning Strengths**

In 5th grade math, EL, black, and non ecodis students scored the highest percentage of masters.

64% of 5th grade math students were approaches.

5th grade math is approximately 2% higher than the district in the approaches category.

45% of 4th grade math students were approaches, and 70% of those students were LEP.

62% approaches in 4th grade reading.

60% approaches in 5th grade reading.

4th grade Weaver data is between 1-4% of the district data for math, reading, and writing.

#### **Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs**

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): 3rd grade reading scores were very low compared to other subjects and grade levels. Root Cause: Teacher changes and Covid, along with a

high percentage of virtual students affected third grade reading scores.

**Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized):** ELL students need more support with sheltered instruction strategies to strengthen reading across all subjects. **Root Cause:** EL students struggled with only online strategies.

**Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized):** Students with chronic absences struggled to learn at the same pace as their present classmates. **Root Cause:** Parents do not appear to understand the impact that missing school has on student learning and instruction.

**Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized):** Black student population in math in the Masters category was 0% in 3rd and 4th grade. **Root Cause:** Differentiated strategies are inconsistently provided for students in the same class, especially focusing on higher level instruction.

**Problem Statement 5 (Prioritized):** Special education students who take MAP and STAAR are not growing at the same rate as general education students in MAP and STAAR. **Root Cause:** Resources and additional planning to ensure special education success is needed.

# **School Processes & Programs**

#### **School Processes & Programs Summary**

Recruiting and hiring educators is a collaborative process. Teachers and staff are placed in classrooms based on their strengths and experience in teaching their assigned subjects and grade levels. When assigning teachers to their grade levels and subjects, administration considers teacher needs and requests. There is a low teacher turn over rate on campus. Teachers are placed in leadership roles on campus, such as, i3, Canvas ambassador, Teacher Leader Corp (TLC) subject areas, and Robotics club where they recieve district support and have clearly defined responsibilities. Leadership roles and responsibilities on a campus level, like Campus Leadership Team's (CLT's), were not clearly communicated to staff. and need to be clearly defined. The process for developing a focused improvement plan that addresses the root causes of low performance involves, parent and teacher surveys, Professional Learning Committees (PLCs), Campus Needs Assessment (CNA) committees, Campus Improvement Plan (CIP) committee and improvement plan. Stakeholders are involved in this process. Progress towards intended outcomes or performance objectives are tracked with MAP growth reports, STAAR trackers, Aggmo, and intersession data tracking. During PLCs, teachers and administration analyze formative assessments (Demonstration of Learning - DOLs and Aggressive Monitoring - AGGMO trackers) and summative assessments (CBAs, MAP, LPAC, DRA and STAAR) to determine the root causes of low performance. Programs operating in our school this year include, intervention, bilingual program, Mandarin dual language magnet, gifted and talented, dyslexia, police community involvement club, and robotics club. These programs are aligned with Weaver's vision, mission, goals, and values while focusing on a safe environment and high expectations for all learners. All students are given opportunities to meet challenging state academic standards with differentiated whole group and small group instruction, tutoring, intervention by specialized teachers and classroom teachers, aligned lessons to the TEKS. use of instructional strategies (lead4ward, AVID, sheltered instruction, text rich environments, PBLs) and individualized small group instruction based on MAP growth reports and data. Campus focuses heavily on MAP data to drive instructional planning, increasing the amount and quality of learning time for all learners. Weaver has a one to one technology plan. Each student has a chromebook, access to hotspots and is enrolled in online courses through Canvas or Seesaw. Students submit assignments digitally through their online classrooms (Canyas/Seesaw) or complete assignments in one of many district or campus technology apps (Nearpod, Peardeck, Discovery Education, Classkick, Flipgrid, Imagine Learning, Google). The use of a variety of online tools has personalized and blended learning experiences because of the many ways students can be accommodated and expected to complete assignment, such as written by hand and uploaded as a picture, typed assignments, visual assignments, and verbal response assignments.

Teachers support powerful teaching and learning by attending professional development sessions, focusing on data driven instruction, implementing a variety of technological learning tools, and using best practices in the classroom. We align curriculum and assessment to the TEKS through use of the district curriculum, AGGMO and STAAR data trackers, DOLs aligned to TEKS, DRA tracking, intervention, small group instruction and project based learning. The curriculum is aligned with scope and sequence the district provides. Lesson plan and instruction objectives are data driven as teachers focus on MAP growth reports to plan individualized instruction. Teachers also implement aggmo to track student mastery of learning objectives. STAAR tested grade levels also implement TEKS mastery data tracking during STAAR review. Lesson plans include critical thinking through the implementation of questioning strategies. Formative assessments in the form of DOLs and Aggmo are used to track student mastery. Intervention objective mastery is tracked through MAP growth reports, ISIP, and Imagine Learning. The utilization of Canvas and Seesaw through the district plan of use allowed for complete integration of technology. 100% of students and staff are required to use digital classrooms and various technology tools. The pre-k program at Weaver prepares young learners to transition into kindergarten classes smoothly through the implementation of behavior and academic strategies in early childhood development. The transition from 5th grade to middle school is supported by a question and answer session by a Sellars counselor and a visit by the Sellars band director to help students decide on an instrument they would like to play in middle school. Classroom incident reports and referrals have continued on a downward trend in the 20-21 school year. Implementation of PBIS and restorative practice strategies have reduced the overuse of practices that remove students from the classroom.

#### **School Processes & Programs Strengths**

Teachers track student growth data often and closely throughout the school year, and plan instruction accordingly to meet or exceed standards and expectations.

Integration of technology and digital teaching tools have required staff to become exceptionally versed in using a variety of digital tools for differentiated instruction.

Implementation of PBIS on campus has reduced the number of discipline incidents compared to previous years.

#### **Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs**

**Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized):** As a campus transitioning into a leadership magnet, Weaver needs the return of leadership programs, such as, AVID club, book club, and interest based clubs. **Root Cause:** Students don't have many opportunities for outside enrichment activities to expand their experiences and prior knowledge.

**Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized):** To improve student growth, strategies including AVID, project based learning, and Costa's levels of thinking should be implemented campus wide. **Root Cause:** Some teachers provide surface level questions and information instead of the higher order questions that students need to be able to answer.

**Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized):** Provide more support to ELL/ESL population, based on TELPAS 2019 growth. Student TELPAS growth average was less than 50%. **Root Cause:** Students need more opportunities to practice listening and speaking in an academic environment. Sheltered Instruction strategies are not consistently implemented.

**Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized):** Students have limited exposure to cultural and historical context to the lessons, studies, and background which makes it difficult to make connections in social studies and ELAR. **Root Cause:** Lack of opportunity to make real world, concrete experiences to abstract learning

**Problem Statement 5 (Prioritized):** Weaver has identified the need for materials for teachers to support blended learning through printed materials. **Root Cause:** Teachers need to use printed materials to provide visual aids and a print rich learning environment.

# **Perceptions**

#### **Perceptions Summary**

The overall school attendance rate is 94.0%, a 1.8% decrease from the previous school year. Due to Covid guidelines and precautionary measures, if one student was sick or quarantined, the entire family of students was quarantine as well, in most cases.

With Covid, the percentage in attendance for each race/ethnicity declined slightly compared to the previous school years, with Asian showing an increase of 3.1% this year. There is a significant drop from in-school and out-of-school suspension from last year. The number of incidents also declined this year in comparison to last year. Students are happy to return to school from Covid Pandemic and enjoy coming to school. Students feel safe and a sense of belonging in the school community due to the positive learning environment at Weaver (in the classroom by teachers and outside the classroom). Face-to-face and virtual learners participated in school events such as Virtual Math and Literacy Night.

There is a less turnover rate this year than in previous years. Majority of the staff stays the same. Adminstration has high expectations for staff and works with staff to reinforce academic expectations. Most staff absences were related to Covid, with covid precautions and guidelines set in place by the district. Teachers feel safe and supported with changes at school due to Covid Pandemic. Teachers feel appreciated and a sense of belonging because of the year long support amongst each other and from adminstration. Teachers and adminstration call themselves "Team Weaver" as a whole school. With a decrease in student behavior this year, teachers feel the positive learning environment helped them to find success with their students in the classroom. Adminstration does a great job supporting teachers at Weaver with an open-door policy and staff meetings. Teachers feel welcome to ask questions, discuss academics, behaviors, and professional concerns/achievements with adminstration. Effective professional developments were provided for all teachers to learn and implement new instructional strategies to help with the new digital learning style.

Teachers supported and relied on each other to help get through the beginning of the year due to new changes and Covid guidelines set forth by the district. Throughout the year, the teachers continue to support each other.

#### **Perceptions Strengths**

Weaver is a safe environment for staff, parents, and children.

Staff is supported by adminstration and colleagues on campus.

Parents trust teachers and adminstration to educate their children.

Staff turnover rate is lower than in previous years.

## **Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs**

**Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized):** Parents/community need more technology support at home. **Root Cause:** Students use technology to complete assignments at school and home. Parents don't understand the programs and the technology.

**Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized):** Covid guidelines, language barriers, work schedules, and technology reduce the amount of parent/community participation. **Root Cause:** There is very little parent involvement due to Covid restrictions. Parents weren't able to be on campus and attend events like they normally do.

<b>Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized):</b> Parts of PBIS are being implestrategies are not implemented consistently across the campus.	emented across the campus but it has not been fully in	mplemented yet. Root Cause: Classroom management
Weaver Elementary School Generated by Plan4Learning com	10 of 34	Campus #110 November 11, 2021 10:51 AN

# **Priority Problem Statements**

**Problem Statement 1**: Student involvement in enrichment clubs and after school activities is limited.

**Root** Cause 1: There are not a lot of volunteers for clubs and after school activities.

**Problem Statement 1 Areas**: Demographics

**Problem Statement 4**: 3rd grade reading scores were very low compared to other subjects and grade levels.

Root Cause 4: Teacher changes and Covid, along with a high percentage of virtual students affected third grade reading scores.

Problem Statement 4 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 8: As a campus transitioning into a leadership magnet, Weaver needs the return of leadership programs, such as, AVID club, book club, and interest based clubs.

Root Cause 8: Students don't have many opportunities for outside enrichment activities to expand their experiences and prior knowledge.

**Problem Statement 8 Areas**: School Processes & Programs

**Problem Statement 13**: Parents/community need more technology support at home.

**Root** Cause 13: Students use technology to complete assignments at school and home. Parents don't understand the programs and the technology.

**Problem Statement 13 Areas**: Perceptions

Problem Statement 2: The campus does not highly incentivize behavior through a structured PBIS system.

Root Cause 2: Students engagement is not as high as it could be. Classroom management strategies are not implemented consistently across the campus.

Problem Statement 2 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 5: ELL students need more support with sheltered instruction strategies to strengthen reading across all subjects.

Root Cause 5: EL students struggled with only online strategies.

**Problem Statement 5 Areas**: Student Learning

**Problem Statement 9**: To improve student growth, strategies including AVID, project based learning, and Costa's levels of thinking should be implemented campus wide.

Root Cause 9: Some teachers provide surface level questions and information instead of the higher order questions that students need to be able to answer.

Problem Statement 9 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 14: Covid guidelines, language barriers, work schedules, and technology reduce the amount of parent/community participation.

Root Cause 14: There is very little parent involvement due to Covid restrictions. Parents weren't able to be on campus and attend events like they normally do.

**Problem Statement 14 Areas**: Perceptions

**Problem Statement 3**: Males are more likely to be written up for classroom incidents than females.

Root Cause 3: Opportunities for males to have mentors and see male role models on campus are not often. Students lack availability to resources and conflict resolution.

Problem Statement 3 Areas: Demographics

**Problem Statement 6**: Students with chronic absences struggled to learn at the same pace as their present classmates.

Root Cause 6: Parents do not appear to understand the impact that missing school has on student learning and instruction.

Problem Statement 6 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 10: Provide more support to ELL/ESL population, based on TELPAS 2019 growth. Student TELPAS growth average was less than 50%.

Root Cause 10: Students need more opportunities to practice listening and speaking in an academic environment. Sheltered Instruction strategies are not consistently implemented.

Problem Statement 10 Areas: School Processes & Programs

**Problem Statement 15**: Parts of PBIS are being implemented across the campus but it has not been fully implemented yet.

Root Cause 15: Classroom management strategies are not implemented consistently across the campus.

Problem Statement 15 Areas: Perceptions

Problem Statement 7: Black student population in math in the Masters category was 0% in 3rd and 4th grade.

Root Cause 7: Differentiated strategies are inconsistently provided for students in the same class, especially focusing on higher level instruction.

**Problem Statement 7 Areas**: Student Learning

**Problem Statement 11**: Students have limited exposure to cultural and historical context to the lessons, studies, and background which makes it difficult to make connections in social studies and ELAR.

Root Cause 11: Lack of opportunity to make real world, concrete experiences to abstract learning

**Problem Statement 11 Areas**: School Processes & Programs

**Problem Statement 16**: Special education students who take MAP and STAAR are not growing at the same rate as general education students in MAP and STAAR.

Root Cause 16: Resources and additional planning to ensure special education success is needed.

Problem Statement 16 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 12: Weaver has identified the need for materials for teachers to support blended learning through printed materials.

Root Cause 12: Teachers need to use printed materials to provide visual aids and a print rich learning environment.

Problem Statement 12 Areas: School Processes & Programs

# Goals

**Goal 1:** Garland ISD will ensure ALL students graduate prepared for college, careers, and life by increasing student performance measures, postsecondary readiness, and graduation rates and decreasing student management incidences.

**Performance Objective 1:** Percent of students in grade 3-5 demonstrating early literacy as measured by Meets Grade Level performance on STAAR Reading, will increase from 3rd-36.8%, 4th-42.0%, 5th - 37.1% in 2019 to 90% by 2025. (SY2021 interim goal = 59.0%; 3rd-56.8%, 4th-53.7%, 5th -48.8%) (same goal due to Covid-19)

**Targeted or ESF High Priority** 

**HB3** Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Due to COVID 19, STAAR spring administration testing data file (accountability subset)

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Teachers will utilize teacher-led, whole group and small group instruction with specific amounts of time to		Formative		Summative
specifically target and support individual student needs for all students (including at-risk and gifted) with attention to the performance of African American, 504/dyslexia, and special education student group performance relative to Academic Achievement (% at Meets Grade Level) and Student Success (student Achievement Domain score).	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Increased student achievement as reflected on MAP, STAAR, and common assessments.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal and assistant principal				
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 5				
Funding Sources: Extra hours pay for special education staff for planning and PD - 6100 Payroll- Title I Funds - \$1,000, Supplies for Printing - 6300 Supplies and Materials- Title I Funds - \$400, Planning for small group instruction - 6100 Payroll- Title I Funds - \$4,000, Mentoring Minds - 6300 Supplies and Materials- Title I Funds - \$10,000				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Teachers in grades K-2 will assess students using MClass to make adjustments to groups and differentiate		Formative		Summative
reading instruction based on needs.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Increased achievement as reflected on MAP, STAAR, and common assessments, including increased levels on MCLASS.			-	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal and assistant principal				
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 2.4, 2.5 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Build a foundation of reading and math - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 5: Effective Instruction				

Strategy 3 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 3: Students will utilize Google Chromebooks as an instructional tool for blended instruction and digital		Formative		Summative	
classrooms. The library will also utilize Chromebooks to provide increased research opportunities to support reading in the classroom.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student engagement and student achievement.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal and assistant principal					
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 2.5 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum					
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 4: Teachers will attend AVID trainings and implement AVID strategies in the classroom which promote reading,		Formative		Summative	
higher level questioning, and increased student comprehension with attention to the performance of economically	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
disadvantaged, African American, and special education students. Supplies for AVID binders will be ordered for the next school year so that implementation can take place the first week of school. Additional supplies will be purchased throughout the year for replacements and supplies for new student enrollment.					
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement as reflected on MAP, STAAR, and common assessments.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal and Assistant Principal					
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 2.6 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools					
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1 - School Processes & Programs 2					
Funding Sources: Supplies - 199 - PIC 24 State Comp Ed Funds - \$6,300					
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	riews		
Strategy 5: Administration developed a master schedule that ensures large blocks of time to provide uninterrupted		Formative		Summative	
instruction.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased instructional time and increased scores					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration					
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 2.4 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools					
Strategy 6 Details		Rev	riews		
Strategy 6: Identify teachers who experience low student growth from CBA to CBA, BOY to EOY, with increased		Formative		Summative	
attention to African American, and observe and provide feedback weekly using the waterfall coaching document.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased achievement on MAP and STAAR					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration					
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 2.6 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 5: Effective Instruction					

Strategy 7 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 7: Teachers will use STAAR-formatted resources to support abstract to concrete concepts. The resources will	Formative			Summative
provide multiple assessment practice items for each TEKS.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased achievement on STAAR Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration				
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 2.4 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Build a foundation of reading and math - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 8 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 8: Administrators will assist teachers with data analysis and ensure that students have an individualized plan to	Formative			Summative
meet grade level reading expectations.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased achievement on STAAR				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration				
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 2.4 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Build a foundation of reading and math - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	•	•

## **Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:**

#### **Student Learning**

**Problem Statement 1**: 3rd grade reading scores were very low compared to other subjects and grade levels. **Root Cause**: Teacher changes and Covid, along with a high percentage of virtual students affected third grade reading scores.

**Problem Statement 5**: Special education students who take MAP and STAAR are not growing at the same rate as general education students in MAP and STAAR. **Root Cause**: Resources and additional planning to ensure special education success is needed.

## **School Processes & Programs**

**Problem Statement 2**: To improve student growth, strategies including AVID, project based learning, and Costa's levels of thinking should be implemented campus wide. **Root Cause**: Some teachers provide surface level questions and information instead of the higher order questions that students need to be able to answer.

**Performance Objective 2:** Percent of students in grade 4 demonstrating early literacy as measured by Meets Grade Level performance on STAAR Writing, will increase from 34.1% in 2019 to 70% by 2025. (SY2021 interim goal = 45.0%; 4th-43.9%) (same goal due to Covid-19)

**Targeted or ESF High Priority** 

**HB3** Goal

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Due to COVID 19,STAAR spring administration testing data file (accountability subset)

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Teachers will monitor student work (during small group instruction as well as the students who are	Formative			Summative
working independently/in groups). Teachers will use systems such as Google Drive or physical folders for students to easily be able to submit work and receive feedback.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Increased student achievement as evidenced on common assessments and STAAR.				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Administrators and teachers will ensure that all students will be provided with 125 minutes of daily instruction	Formative			Summative
in Reading Language Arts.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Increased student achievement as evidenced on common assessments and STAAR.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration				
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 2.4 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Build a foundation of reading and math - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	•
Strategy 3: Teachers will provide daily explicit instruction from the curriculum in phonological awareness and phonics in	Formative			Summative
grades PreK-3.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Increased student achievement as evidenced on common assessments and STAAR.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration				
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 2.4, 2.5 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Build a foundation of reading and math - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 5: Effective Instruction				

Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 4: Teachers will teach all essential components of reading language arts daily: interactive read aloud with		Formative		
vocabulary instruction, foundational skills lessons (phonological awareness, phonics, word study), comprehension, writing workshop & small group instruction.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Increased student achievement as evidenced on common assessments and STAAR.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration				
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 2.4 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Build a foundation of reading and math - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	iews	
		Formative		Summative
<b>Strategy 5:</b> Teachers in grades k-2 will utilize mCLASS data to inform instruction.				
Teachers in grades 3-5 will utilize MAP data & Istation data to inform instruction.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Teachers in grades 3-5 will utilize MAP data & Istation data to inform instruction.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement as evidenced on common assessments	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Teachers in grades 3-5 will utilize MAP data & Istation data to inform instruction.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement as evidenced on common assessments and STAAR.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June

**Performance Objective 3:** Percent of ELL students demonstrating English language acquisition, as measured by earning yearly progress indicator on the Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS), will increase from 43.1% in 2019 to 76% by 2025. (SY2021 interim goal = 46.0%; Weaver-45.9%) (same goal due to Covid-19)

**Targeted or ESF High Priority** 

**HB3 Goal** 

Evaluation Data Sources: Due to COVID 19, TELPAS spring administration testing data file (only students with progress measure; accountability subset)

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Teachers will incorporate the ELPS to address language development in listening, speaking, reading, and		Formative		Summative
writing to ensure students meet expected growth on TELPAS.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will meet expected year's growth.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-				
performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 3				
Funding Sources: Bilingual Resources - 199 - PIC 25 Bil/ESL State Allotment Funds - \$4,800				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			•
Strategy 2: Students will practice listening and speaking in online programs to ensure they are comfortable and prepared		Formative		Summative
for TELPAS.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased yearly progress on TELPAS			F	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 5:				
Effective Instruction				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 3: Administrators and teachers will ensure that each EL (English Learner) is provided with linguistic and		Formative		Summative
instructional accommodations as determined by the LPAC. (i.e. Initial LPAC, Assessment LPAC, Monitoring	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Intervention LPAC, EOY LPAC)				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased yearly progress on TELPAS				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever				
5: Effective Instruction				

Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 4: Teachers will provide a culturally responsive learning environment by understanding and relating to	Formative			Summative
student with respect to the student's cultural perspective and incorporate the cultural and cognitive resources students naturally bring to the learning environment through family engagement events.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased yearly progress on TELPAS				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration				
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 2.4, 2.5 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Improve low-performing schools - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1				
Funding Sources: Parent involvement - 6100 Parent Inv. Payroll T1 - \$500				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

#### **Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:**

#### **Demographics**

**Problem Statement 1**: Student involvement in enrichment clubs and after school activities is limited. **Root Cause**: There are not a lot of volunteers for clubs and after school activities.

# **School Processes & Programs**

**Problem Statement 3**: Provide more support to ELL/ESL population, based on TELPAS 2019 growth. Student TELPAS growth average was less than 50%. **Root Cause**: Students need more opportunities to practice listening and speaking in an academic environment. Sheltered Instruction strategies are not consistently implemented.

**Performance Objective 4:** Percent of students in grade 5 demonstrating scientific understanding as measured by Meets Grade Level performance on STAAR Science, will increase from 35.2% in 2019 to 80% by 2025. (SY2021 interim goal = 51.0%; Weaver-35.4%) (same due to Covid-19)

**Targeted or ESF High Priority** 

Evaluation Data Sources: Due to COVID 19, STAAR spring administration testing data file (accountability subset)

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Administration and instructional specialist will ensure all science labs are fully stocked with all needed		Formative		Summative	
consumable science materials. Teachers will utilize an organizational system science lab materials to streamline the of live materials and ease the process lab set-up and use.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased use of the science lab and hands on experiences, improved STAAR scores					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration					
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 2.4, 2.5 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Improve low-performing schools - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum					
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 2: Teachers will teach academic vocabulary in context through hands-on experiences, visuals, and application that	Formative			Summative	
cludes discussion, writing, and illustrating; avoid teaching science in isolation.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improvement in STAAR scores and common assessment data.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration					
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 2.4 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Improve low-performing schools - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum					
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	•	
Strategy 3: Teachers will use STAAR-formatted resources to support abstract to concrete. The resources will provide		Formative		Summative	
multiple practice items for each TEKS.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improvement in STAAR scores and common assessment data					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration					
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 2.4 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Improve low-performing schools - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum					

Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 4: Teachers will use their expanded science resources in the classroom to support engaging science instruction and		Formative		Summative
allow students to learn in a print-rich environment.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased science STAAR scores and reading levels.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality				
Curriculum				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue	•	•

**Performance Objective 5:** Percent of students demonstrating mathematical proficiency, as measured by Meets Grade Level performance as measured by Meets Grade Level performance on STAAR math, will increase from 3rd-39.1%, 4th-40.7%, 5th-44.3% to 90% by 2025. (SY2021 interim goal = 68.5%; 3rd-41%, 4th-42.6%, 5th-46.2%)

#### **Targeted or ESF High Priority**

Evaluation Data Sources: Due to COVID 19, STAAR spring administration testing data file (first-time testers only; accountability subset)

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Teachers will provide high-quality, hands-on math instruction that supports the development teaching math		Formative		Summative
concepts and problem-solving than focusing on and recall.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Increased student understanding of math concepts, increased scores on STAAR and MAP				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF				
Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum				
<b>Problem Statements:</b> Student Learning 4				
Funding Sources: Supplies - 6300 Supplies and Materials- Title I Funds - \$9,600				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
<b>Strategy 2:</b> Students will use math programs (desktops Chromebooks) to support engaging, relevant, aligned math lessons.	Formative			Summative
Students can work math lessons before school, at home, and intervention using their universal login.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student engagement, STAAR scores, and MAP scores  Staff Resultation of Administration	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration				
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 2.4, 2.5 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Build a foundation of reading and math - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 4				
Funding Sources: Education Galaxy - 6300 Supplies and Materials- Title I Funds - \$4,300				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	•
Strategy 3: Teachers will utilize small group, teacher-led, instruction to specifically target and individual student needs for		Formative		Summative
all students at-risk and gifted) with attention to	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
performance of African American, 504/dyslexia, special education student group performance to Academic Achievement (% at Meets Level) and Student (student Domain score).			1	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased engagement and achievement on STAAR and MAP				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: : Principal and Assistant Principal				
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 2.4, 2.5 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Build a foundation of reading and math - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum				

Strategy 4 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 4: Implement system to develop, monitor, and consistently refine aligned learning objectives) and demonstrations	Formative			ormative Summative	
of learning (DOLs) in STAAR related subjects.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased scores on STAAR and MAP  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration  Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers:  Lever 5: Effective Instruction	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	views	_	
Strategy 5: Teachers will use STAAR-formatted materials to support abstract to concrete. The resources will provide multiple practice items for each TEKS.	Formative Nov Feb Apr			Nov Feb Apr June	Summative June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: increased scores on STAAR  Stoff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration  Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers:  Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
Strategy 6 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 6: Teachers will show students multiple strategies, when appropriate, for problem solving and allow	Formative			Summative	
students to share multiple strategies when solving.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement on STAAR.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration  Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers:  Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
Problem Statements: Student Learning 4 Funding Sources: Math resources - 6300 Supplies and Materials- Title I Funds - \$700					
Strategy 7 Details		Rev	iews/		
Strategy 7: Teachers will design mini-lessons and activities driven by Learning Continuum data to re-teach low		Formative		Summative	
performing TEKS measured by unit assessments within a 3 week window following administration of a unit assessment.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement on STAAR.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration  Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers:  Lever 5: Effective Instruction					

# **Performance Objective 5 Problem Statements:**

## **Student Learning**

**Problem Statement 4**: Black student population in math in the Masters category was 0% in 3rd and 4th grade. **Root Cause**: Differentiated strategies are inconsistently provided for students in the same class, especially focusing on higher level instruction.

**Performance Objective 6:** Percent of student management incidents resulting in exclusionary consequences [i.e., In School Suspension (ISS), Out of School Suspension (OSS), and Reassignment Rooms] will decrease from 18.2% in 2019 to 16.7% by 2020. (SY2021 interim goal = 44.0%, Weaver-16.7%)

Evaluation Data Sources: Review360 Incident Summary Report - total # of exclusionary consequences out of total # of consequences

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: Campus staff will review behavioral data and develop a school wide student management plan to	Formative				
address specific targeted behavior, a positive behavior support plan that promotes positive behavior in all aspects of the school setting and to determine interventions to be utilized to prevent misconduct.	Nov	Nov Feb Ap		June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decreased exclusionary discipline incidents					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: : Principal and assistant principal					
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 2.5 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Improve low-performing schools - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 3: Positive School Culture					
Problem Statements: Student Learning 5					
Funding Sources: Additional staff support for small group - 199 - PIC 23 SPED State Allotment Funds - \$2,000					
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 2: Teachers will explain clearly defined school wide expectations for behavior to students during first	Formative			by defined school wide expectations for behavior to students during first  Form	Summative
ek of school and communicate the plan with parents through a variety of methods including behavior lessons vided by the district, modeling, and practicing the expectations.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decreased exclusionary discipline incidents					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal and assistant principal					
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 2.5 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Improve low-performing schools - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 3: Positive School Culture					
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	1	
Strategy 3: Teachers will implement appropriate behavioral interventions for students based on strategies discussed	Formative Sumn			Summative	
in staff development to address diversity, building relationships with students, and working with students from different populations. Teachers will review discipline data and intervention strategies monthly during PLC meetings.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decreased exclusionary discipline incidents					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal and assistant principal					
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture					

Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 4: Administrative teams will analyze data monthly to monitor and assess progress of goals of each	Formative S			Summative
Student Management Plan and revise if needed.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decreased exclusionary discipline incidents Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal and assistant principal				
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 2.5 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Improve low-performing schools - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Strategy 5 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 5: Discipline data will be communicated to staff quarterly and plans for students in need of behavioral		Formative Sun		
intervention discussed.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decreased exclusionary discipline				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal and Assistant Principal				
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 2.6 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Improve low-performing schools - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

## **Performance Objective 6 Problem Statements:**

## **Student Learning**

**Problem Statement 5**: Special education students who take MAP and STAAR are not growing at the same rate as general education students in MAP and STAAR. **Root Cause**: Resources and additional planning to ensure special education success is needed.

**Performance Objective 7:** 100% of staff will participate in focused activities to increase positive relationships with students, families, and members of the community.

Evaluation Data Sources: Sign in sheets, staff participation, parent involvement as evidenced at events

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 1: Weaver staff will build a strong sense of community through AVID parent nights, family engagement events	Formative Nov Feb Apr			Summative June
(virtual literacy and math nights), trips that celebrate our students' futures, and heritages.			Apr	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased parent involvement			-	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration				
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 3.2 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Improve low-performing schools - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 4				
Funding Sources: Field Trip - 6400 Healthy Snacks/Bus/Travel - Title I Funds - \$4,000				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Teachers will foster daily communication with parents through the use of a daily agenda for AVID and or	Formative			Summative
Remind 101.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in parent involvement and teacher/parent communication.			-	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration				
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 3.2 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Improve low-performing schools - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Problem Statements: Perceptions 2				
Funding Sources: Parent Involvement Supplies - 6300 Parent Involvement. Supplies T1 - \$1,500				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	riews	•
Strategy 3: Develop jointly with, and distribute to parents, a written PFE policy that describes how the school will inform		Formative		Summative
parents of the school's participation in the Title I, Part A program, and strategies that the school will use to build the capacity of parents to support campus academic goals. To meet the needs of diverse languages of our parents, families and	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
community members, additional language translation of the policy will be made available at no cost.				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in parent involvement and teacher/parent communication.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration				
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 3.1, 3.2 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Improve low-performing schools - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 3: Positive School Culture				

Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 4: Develop jointly with, and distribute to parents, a school-parent compact, which will describe the shared	Formative			Summative
responsibility for learning among staff, families and students. To meet the needs of diverse languages of our parents, families and community members, additional language translation of the policy will be made available at no cost. Families	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
will have an opportunity to review the compact and provide feedback during parent-teacher conferences.				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in parent involvement and teacher/parent communication.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration				
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 3.1, 3.2 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Improve low-performing schools - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 5: Support school readiness for incoming PK/K through School transition meetings for families of incoming		Formative		Summative
students. Provide information to parents on PreK/K readiness.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in parent involvement and teacher/parent communication.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 3.2 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Strategy 6 Details	Reviews			
<b>Strategy 6:</b> Support transition to middle school for 5th graders moving to 6th grade by holding Transition meetings for		Formative		Summative
families in collaboration with feeder schools to provide information on graduation plans, and college and career readiness.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in parent involvement and teacher/parent communication.			-	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration				
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 3.2 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Improve low-performing schools - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Strategy 7 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 7: Provide PD opportunities for staff and parents on best practices to create a culturally competent and inclusive	Formative Sun			Summative
environment for families	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased parent involvement			-	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin and counselor				
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 2.6, 3.2 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Improve low-performing schools - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Disco	ntinue		

# **Performance Objective 7 Problem Statements:**

## **School Processes & Programs**

**Problem Statement 4**: Students have limited exposure to cultural and historical context to the lessons, studies, and background which makes it difficult to make connections in social studies and ELAR. **Root Cause**: Lack of opportunity to make real world, concrete experiences to abstract learning

# **Perceptions**

**Problem Statement 2**: Covid guidelines, language barriers, work schedules, and technology reduce the amount of parent/community participation. **Root Cause**: There is very little parent involvement due to Covid restrictions. Parents weren't able to be on campus and attend events like they normally do.

**Performance Objective 8:** FEDERAL ACCOUNTABILITY (Targeted School of Improvement): Due to one or more consistently under-performing student group in 2019 accountability, STAAR student performance in the following areas need to increase to meet the student performance targets:

All Students: Reading Academic Achievement from 39 (2019) to at least 44 (min target)

All Students: Mathematics Academic Achievement from 42 (2019) to at least 46 (min target)

All Students: Student Success (D1 STAAR Component) from 42 (2019) to at least 47 (min target)

African American Students: Reading Academic Achievement from 29 (2019) to at least 32 (min target)

African American Students: Mathematics Academic Achievement from 25 (2019) to at least 31 (min target)

African American Students: Student Success (D1 STAAR Component) from 33 (2019) to at least 36 (min target)

#### **Targeted or ESF High Priority**

#### **HB3 Goal**

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Second, third, and fourth grade teachers will participate in MIZ Blended Learning training and coaching to	Formative			Summative
support engagement in a blended model.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased engagement and scores for all students and African American students				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration				
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 2.4, 2.6 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Build a foundation of reading and math - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Students will utilize Google Chromebooks and iPads as an instructional tool for flipped instruction and digital		Formative		Summative
classrooms. The library will also utilize Chromebooks to provide increased research opportunities to support reading in the classroom.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Increased engagement and scores for all students and African American students				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration				
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 2.4, 2.6 - <b>TEA Priorities:</b> Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 5: Effective Instruction				

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Identify teachers who experience low student growth from CBA to CBA, BOY to EOY, with increased		Formative		
attention to African American, and observe and provide feedback weekly using the waterfall coaching document.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased engagement and scores for all students and African American	Nov   Feb   Abr   Jule	Nov Feb Apr		
students				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities:  Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	views	-1
Strategy 4: Teachers will utilize small group, teacher-led, instruction to specifically target and individual student needs for		Formative		Summative
all students at-risk and gifted) with attention to performance of African American, 504/dyslexia, special education student group performance to Academic Achievement (% at Meets Level) and Student (student Domain score).	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased engagement and scores for all students and African American students				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 5 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 5:		Formative		Summative
The English Language Proficiency Standards (ELPS) will be implemented into instruction daily to make content comprehensible and develop academic language ensuring ELs obtain the mastery of required academic content along with language development.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased growth for EL students				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 6 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 6: Administrative teams will establish specific school-based goals and timelines based on data/root cause	Formative Sur			Summative
analysis to reduce over representation of students of color in disciplinary outcomes.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased engagement and scores for all students and African American students				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration				
<b>Title I Schoolwide Elements:</b> 2.6 - <b>ESF Levers:</b> Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Disco	ontinue		

# Goal 2: Magnet Funding Justification

Performance Objective 1: 70% of magnet students will meet or exceed their expected growth on Mandarin Chinese literacy proficiency by the end of 21-22

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Increased student scores in Better Chinese assessments

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Magnet teachers will meet quarterly to review BOY, MOY, and EOY Better Chinese Mandarin assessments to	o Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student scores in Better Chinese assessments.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration		Feb	Apr	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	•
Strategy 2: Magnet teachers will use technology and manipulatives appropriate for learning Mandarin drive instruction to	Formative Su			Summative
ensure students meet or exceed expected growth in language proficiency based on Better student assessment reviews	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student scores in Better Chinese assessments.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration				
Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			·
Strategy 3: Magnet teachers, magnet adviser and administration will plan for one classroom field trip learning experience	Formative Su			Summative
per semester.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student scores in Better Chinese assessments.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration				
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	views	•
Strategy 4: Magnet teachers and administration will create a Mandarin Chinese classroom with space for and flexible	Formative Summ			Summative
seating.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student scores in Better Chinese assessments.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		1

# Goal 2: Magnet Funding Justification

Performance Objective 2: Weaver will begin rebranding for the Leadership Academy.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
<b>Strategy 1:</b> The building will be updated with rebranding/marketing for the new leadership academy.	Formative Sun			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased enrollment	Nov Feb Apr		June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			•
Strategy 2: Teachers will begin planning for the leadership academy by attending professional development and	Formative Sum			Summative
developing lessons and concepts for the following year.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased enrollment			_	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	ntinue		

# **Title I Personnel**

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>Program</u>	<u>FTE</u>
Anthony Moran	Intervention Specialist	Title I, Part A	1.0
Cristen Gay	Instructional Aide	Title I, Part A	1.0